

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/634,442	08/05/2003	Neil G. Sellars	98-40145-US-C	8282	
7590 11/30/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Louis M. Heidelberger			AHMAD, NASSER		
Reed Smith LLP 2500 One Liberty Place			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1650 Market Street			1772		
Philadelphia, PA 19103-7301			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/634,442	SELLARS, NEIL G.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nasser Ahmad	1772			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Disions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (IX) (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 S</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i>	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>5,6,11,12,18,22,23,28 and 31-48</u> is/a la) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>33-35,37-39 and 41-48</u> is/are allowed Claim(s) <u>5,6,11,12,18,22,23,28,36 and 40</u> is/a Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. d. re rejected.				
Application	on Papers					
ר 🗌 (10	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrective oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/634,442

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Indicated Allowable Claims Withdrawn

1. Claims 5-6, 11-12, 18, 22-23, 28 and 31-32 indicated as being allowable in the last Office Action of June 27, 2005 has been withdrawn in view of the newly uncovered prior art as follows:

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 5-6, 11-12, 18, 22-23, 28 and 31-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 6670345. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant application and the Patent'345 are directed a label having a member with length greater than the periphery of the object, printed indicia thereon, and a tab. However, Patent'345 but fails to teach that the adhesive is at least partially deadened. It would have been obvious to

Application/Control Number: 10/634,442

Art Unit: 1772

one having ordinary skill in the art that the label member of Patent'345 would have partially deadened adhesive as claimed in dependent claim 18.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 22, 36 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 22 is improperly dependent from cancelled claim 14.

Claim 36, as stated, is found to be vague because the phrase "a protective material to at least one portion" is deemed to be incomplete. It is unclear as to how are the protective member and the member not bearing adhesive are related.

Claim 40, the phrase "said parts" is found to be indefinite for lack of antecedent basis.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 33-35, 37-39 and 41-48 are allowed.

The prior art fails to teach or suggest that the planar member having a length greater than the periphery of the object and a first edge having a different length than a second edge such that the first length is oriented at an oblique angle relative to the second length of the member.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,442

Art Unit: 1772

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. November 27, 2005.